D.P.U. 95-4B

Application of Eastern Edison Company

- (1) under the provisions of G.L. c. 164, § 94G and the Company's tariff, M.D.P.U. 115, for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of a change in the quarterly fuel charge to be billed to the Company's customers pursuant to meter readings in the billing months of June, July, and August 1995.
- (2) for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of rates to be paid to Qualifying Facilities for purchases of power pursuant to 220 C.M.R. §§ 8.00 et seq. The rules established in 220 C.M.R. §§ 8.00 et seq. set forth the filings to be made by electric utilities with the Department, and implement the intent of sections 201 and 210 of the Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act of 1978.

APPEARANCES: David A. Fazzone, Esq.

McDermott, Will & Emery

75 State Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02110 FOR: EASTERN EDISON COMPANY

<u>Applicant</u>

# I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

On April 28, 1995, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 94G and 220 C.M.R. §§ 8.00 et seq., Eastern Edison Company ("EECO" or "Company") notified the Department of Public Utilities ("Department") of the Company's intent to file a quarterly change to its fuel charge in conformance with its tariff, M.D.P.U. 115, and to its Qualifying Facility ("QF") power purchase rates in conformance with its tariff, M.D.P.U. 115. The Company requested that both these changes be effective for bills issued pursuant to meter readings for the billing months of June, July, and August 1995. These matters were docketed as D.P.U. 95-4B.

Pursuant to notice duly issued, a public hearing on the Company's application was held on May 25, 1995, at the Department's offices in Boston. Notice of the hearing was published by the Company in the Fall River Herald News, the Quincy Patriot Ledger, the Brockton Enterprise, and the Boston Herald. The Company also complied with the requirement to mail a copy of the notice of the hearing to persons with whom the Company has special retail contracts that do not incorporate a filed rate, and to all intervenors and their respective counsel from the Company's prior two fuel charge proceedings. At the hearing, the Company sponsored two witnesses: Mark Sorgman, supervisor of rate administration for Eastern Utilities

Associates Service Corporation, and Gail M. Hatch, power analyst

for Eastern Utilities Associates Service Corporation. The Company submitted one exhibit.

EECo is a wholly owned subsidiary of Eastern Utilities

Associates ("EUA"), a utility holding company. EUA's other

subsidiaries, affiliates of EECo, include Blackstone Valley

Electric Company ("Blackstone") in Rhode Island, Newport Electric

Corporation in Rhode Island, and EUA Service Corporation, which

provides engineering, technical, and other services for EUA

companies. Montaup Electric Company ("Montaup") is a wholly

owned subsidiary of EECo and supplies power to EECo, Blackstone,

and certain municipal electric utilities. EECo purchases all of

its power requirements at wholesale from Montaup pursuant to

rates regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

("FERC"). Thus, EECo does not own or operate any power

generation units of its own. EECo serves, on average, 204,000

customers in its service territory, which includes over twenty

cities and towns in southeastern Massachusetts.

### II. FUEL CHARGE

On May 17, 1995, the Company filed with the Department its proposed changes to its fuel charge and QF power purchase rates for June, July, and August 1995. For these billing months, the Company proposes a fuel charge of \$0.01866 per kilowatthour ("KWH"). The proposed fuel charge is \$0.00035 per KWH higher than the fuel charge of \$0.01831 per KWH approved by the Department in <u>Eastern Edison Company</u>, D.P.U. 95-4A (1995) for

meter readings for the billing months of March, April, and May 1995.

According to Mr. Sorgman, the increase in the proposed fuel charge can be ascribed to a forecast underrecovery balance of \$112,000 at the end of the current period. (Exh. EE-1, sec. 1, at iii; Tr. at 7). Mr. Sorgman stated the underrecovery resulted from a decrease of 2 percent or less in purchases and sales, and a slight increase in the average energy rate (Exh. EE-1, sec. 1 at iii; Tr. at 10-11).

Ms. Hatch asserted that the 1.97 percent increase in the average energy rate can be attributed to higher than estimated oil prices, as well as lower than estimated unit availabilities (Exh. EE-1, sec. 2, at ii; Tr. at 11).

### III. OUALIFYING FACILITIES

Pursuant to the Department's rules, 220 C.M.R. 8.00 <u>et seq.</u>, rates to be paid to QFs for short-run power purchases are set with the same frequency as the fuel charge. A QF is a small power producer or cogenerator that meets the criteria established by the FERC in 18 C.F.R. § 292.203(a) and adopted by the Department in 220 C.M.R. § 8.02.

Pursuant to the governing regulations, the Company is required to calculate short-run energy purchase rates on a time-of-supply basis for two rating periods: peak and off-peak. In addition, the Company is required to calculate a non-time-differentiated rate, <u>i.e.</u>, a total period rate, which is

a weighted average of the time-of-supply rates, where the weighting is a function of the number of hours in each rating period. See 220 C.M.R. § 8.04(4)(b).

The Company proposed the following standard rates to be paid to QFs during June, July, and August 1995:

## Energy Rates By Voltage Level (\$/KWH)

<u>Voltage Level</u>	<u>Peak</u>	Off-Peak	<u>Total</u>
(A) Primary	0.021117	0.016511	0.017574
(B) Secondary	0.021777	0.017070	0.018147

(Exh. EE-1, sec. 2, at 32).

## IV. <u>FINDINGS</u>

Based on the record in the case, the Department finds:

- 1. that the fuel charge to be applied to Company bills issued pursuant to meter readings for the billing months of June, July, and August 1995 shall be \$0.01866 per KWH. (The calculation of the fuel charge is shown in Table #1 attached to this order.)
- 2. that the qualifying facility power purchase rates for June, July, and August 1995 shall be the rates set forth in Section III above.

### V. ORDER

Accordingly, after due notice, hearing and consideration, it is

ORDERED: That the Eastern Edison Company is authorized to

put into effect a quarterly fuel charge of \$0.01866 per KWH as set forth in Section IV, Finding 1 of this Order for bills issued pursuant to meter readings for the billing months of June, July, and August 1995 subject to refund; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED: That the fuel charge approved herein shall apply to kilowatthours sold to Eastern Edison Company's customers subject to the jurisdiction of the Department and shall be itemized separately on all such customers' electric bills; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED: That the Eastern Edison Company's Qualifying Facility power purchase rates for the billing months of June, July, and August 1995 shall be those set forth in the Table on page 4 of this Order; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED: That the Eastern Edison Company, in all future fuel charge proceedings, shall notify all intervenors and their respective counsel from the Company's prior two fuel charge proceedings that it is proposing an adjustment to its fuel charge, and shall also notify these persons of the date scheduled for the hearing on the proposed fuel charge at least ten days in advance of the hearing; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED: That the Eastern Edison Company, in all future fuel charge proceedings, shall provide the Attorney

General and all intervenors and their respective counsel from the prior two fuel charge proceedings with a copy of its fuel charge filing, in hand or by facsimile, on the same day it is filed with the Department.

By Order of the Department,

Kenneth Gordon Chairman

Mary Clark Webster Commissioner

Janet Gail Besser Commissioner